Approved For Release 2004/08/31 : CIA-RDP78S02149R000200010004-4

secument consists of Copies. Series 4

Appendix to INR/RFE Memor andum

dated November 10, 1965, subject: "Evaluation of Air

NOV 12 1965

Attacks against North Vietnam" (Top Secret)
Methodology of Estimating Casualties:
The CIA report examines separately casualties caused around fixed targets and those caused by armed reconnaissance.

## 1. Fixed targets.

Two pre-strike estimates were made by calculating the number of people expected to be in the vicinity of the target without warning and with warning. Another estimate was based on post-strike photography showing actual damage. A fourth estimate was based on the World War II German ratio between physical destruction and casualties. These four estimates were then averaged and the results were generalized to other urban All four of these centers. estimates contain arbitrary elements -- unavoidable but also quite possibly very wrong. To take account of warning and air defense the probable casualties are simply reduced by a factor of ten. Some reduction is clearly justified but there is no experience or logical argument leading to this factor of ten -- it just seems reasonable. If in reality a factor of 5 or 20 is more accurate, then the fixed-target casualty estimates are roughly half as large or twice as large as this report suggests. The fourth estimate in the average -- the German andlogy -- is also at best a very rough andlogy. The construction of German cities, the efficacy of air defense and medical measures, the probable behavior of the population -- all are clearly different from Vietnam, and to have the differences cancel out is a rather large assumption. The mechanical extension of the Nam Dinh estimate to all urban areas is also a very rough estimative procedure. It was approximately checked by looking at roof damage to six other towns and in the time available no more could really have been done, but it adds to the uncertainty of the whole estimate. It thus should be concluded that the "range" of 1,700 to 2,400 casualties around fixed targets does not bracket the outside possibilities but merely gives a "most probable" figure which is likely to be toward the lower end of the possible range. There may well have been only 1,000 or so casualties in this category; there equally well may have been 5,000 or more.

## Armed reconnaissance.

Two methods are used. One assumes a random distribution of bombs and of people over the entire area exposed to armed reconnaissance. This is patently not the case: both are concentrated along communication lines. The study assumes that this deviation, which makes the estimate low by a factor of 10 or even 100, is compensated for by the tendency of people to

State, USAF reviews completed

25X1

RCI-1939

25X1

- 2 -

avoid the areas where bombs may be expected. If each of these compensating factors were in the order of magnitude of 2 or 3, then this cancelling out could perhaps be defended, but the deviations from a random meeting between people and bombs are so very large, that this estimate cannot be taken very seriously.	25X1

3. Combining our criticisms of both elements of the CIA estimates, we suggest that the probable range of civilian casualties is between 3,000 and 10,000. The procedures in this report, though the best available in the time available, do not support any greater precision than this.

RCI-1939

25X1

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP  TO NAME AND ADDRESS INITIALS DATE  1 MOUND 2 DORR FEA, 21, Mr. 3 Chit DD/ORR With 24 Mills 4 Ch/E Cha 29 Nov	Appr	dved,	FSFNREBASE 2801 UNCLASSIFIED	1/08/	3 ASSTACATION CONFIDEN	8 <del>502</del> 4	¥ <del>9r</del> 86	HOZOO010004		
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP  TO NAME AND ADDRESS INITIALS DATE  1 M. Chul  2 DORR DEA 24.Mm.  3 ELLE DD/OKR Who 24.Mm.  4 Ch/E Cha 29.Mm  6 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT 2 FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE / INFORMATION SIGNATURE  REMARKS: Jun Leonard of Stato/INR forwards with bringing when M the NVN Cannother April We Shought we had slifted Aome of State outleisms. A print he wines in part I is that four surpairele methods, though none was perfect, produced roughly the same range of figures.  FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER ND PHONE NO. DATE  Approved For Release 2004/08/31: C ANTHERS PA: 148R000020000100000										
1 M. Club  2 DORR DEA 21 Mar.  3 ESTE DD/ORR With 24 Mar.  4 Ch/E Cha 19 NW  6 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT 2 FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE / INFORMATION SIGNATURE  Remarks: Jun Leonard of Stale/INR forwards Win briefing whe on the NVN Casualter Abuse We thought we had stifler Aome of these criticisms. A point he winter in part 1 is that four he winter in part 1 is that four reparate methods, though none was perfect, produced roughly the same range of figures.  FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER  ND PHONE NO. DATE  Approved For Release 2004/08/31: CASTABLES TO 22000 1000							P			
2 DORR DEA 24MM.  3 CHT DD/ORR Why 24MM  4 Ch/E Cha 19NOV  5 E23  6 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT 2 FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE T INFORMATION SIGNATURE  REMARKS: Jun Leonard of Stato/INR forwards  Flin briefing hote on the NVN Casualties Aturely we had stifled Aturely we had stif		то	TO NAME AND ADDRESS				ALS	DATE		
3 Ch/E Ch/E Cha 29Nov  4 Ch/E Cha 29Nov  5 E23  6 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT 2 FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE / INFORMATION SIGNATURE  REMARKS: Jun Leonard of Stato/INR forwards Flux briefing hole on the NVN Casualties Atmosphy we had stifled Aome of these entireisms. A point to winter in part. I is that four separate methods, though none was perfect, produced roughly the same range of figures.  FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER  ND PHONE NO. DATE  Approved For Release 2004/08/31: CARREST 2149R0002900110902		1	Mr Olmo				<u>)</u>	√		
4 Ch/E  5 E23  6  ACTION APPROVAL APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE  Remarks: Jun Leonard of State/INR forwards This briefing wate on the NVN Casualties Abuse. We thought we had stifled Aome of these criticisms. A point he mines in pare I is that four the mines in pare I is the mines in pare I is that four the mines in pare I is that four the mines in pare I is the mines in		2	DIORR			03	a	24.NN.		
25X1 5 E23  6  ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT 2 FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE / INFORMATION SIGNATURE  REMARKS: JUN L CONARD OF Stato/INR forwards flux benefing while on the NVN Casualties Aludes. We thought we had stifled Aome of these criticisms. A point to winter in part. I is that four he winter in part. I is that four he winter methods, though none wat perfect, produced roughly the same range of figures.  FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER  Approved For Release 2004/08/31: C ANTERST2149R00D20901D9002.		3	Statt DD/ORR				m	Whys		
Action Direct Reply Prepare Reply Approval Dispatch Recommendation Comment 2 file Return Concurrence / Information Signature  Remarks: Jun Leonard of State INR forwards this briefing while on the NVN Casualties Alube. We thought we had slifted Aome of these criticisms. A point he minter in part. I is that four senated methods, though none wat senated methods, though none wat perfect, produced roughly the same range of figures.  FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER  Approved For Release 2004/08/31: Classification.		4	Ch/E			EL	al	29 NW		
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION  COMMENT 2 FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE / INFORMATION SIGNATURE  Remarks: Jun Leonard of Stato/INR forwards  Flux briefing wite in the NVN Casualter  Abuse of these criticisms. A point  Aome of these criticisms. A point  A minuse in Park 1 is that four  Acquired methods, though none was  perfect, produced roughly the same  perfect, produced roughly the same  range of figures.  FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER  ND PHONE NO. DATE  Approved For Release 2004/08/31: CANTIDER 123149R00022000110002	25X1	5			E2-3					
APPROVAL  APPROVAL  COMMENT  COMMENT  CONCURRENCE  RETURN  SIGNATURE  REMARKS:  FIN L CONARD OF State (INR forwards)  For State (INR forwards)  Approved to the NVN Casualties  Alune of these criticisms. A point  to mines in part is that four  Acquires methods, though none was  sereicel, rivduced roughly the same  range of figures.  FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER  ND PHONE NO.  DATE  Approved For Release 2004/08/31: C ARRETERS 21/49R00D200011000		6								
Remarks: Jun Leonard of State/INR forwards  The briefing with on the NVN Casualties  Alues of these enticesus. A point  to mines in part. I is that four  separate methods, though none was  series, produced roughly the same  range of figures.  Approved For Release 2004/08/31: C ANTERNAL 149R00D2000110000										
Remarks: Jim Leonard of State/INR forwards  This briefing whe on the NVN Casualties  Atural We had stifled  Aome of these criticisms. A point  he minter in part I is that four  he minter in part I is that four  he minter methods, though none was  separate methods, though none was  perfect, produced roughly the same  range of figures.  FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER  ND PHONE NO. DATE  Approved For Release 2004/08/31: C ANTIBERT 12:149R0002999119002										
Remarks: Jim Leonard of State/INR forwards  Alis briefing wite on the NVN Casualties  Ature, in There enticessus. A point  he minter in part. I is that four  he minter in part. I is that four  he minter in part. I is that four  Approved for Release 2004/08/31: Cantal Report 1000 2000 1000		-		<del></del>		+				
Approved For Release 2004/08/31 : CARPET REPROSE SERVICE REPORT RELEASE 2004/08/31 : CARPET REPORT R		this briefing note on the NVN Casualties studie. We thought we had stifled some of these criticisms. A point he minter in part is that four he minter in part is that four separate methods, though none wat perfect, produced roughly the same range of figures.								
Approved For Release 2004/08/31 : CARIAP 78 \$ 02149 R 000 2000 1										
CONFIDENTIAL										
	Appr	oved	For Release 2004	1/08/	31 : C (20 NAB)	D/ R\$P.21	<del>49</del> ₹0	SEGRET		

25X1

FORM NO. 237 Replaces Form 30-4 which may be used.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1955 -- O-342531